

<u>Item</u>	<u>Who</u>	<u>Section & page</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
General				
1	Bruce Rawls	010-P. 3	While I agree that the original goal of the Reclaimed Water Committee was to write a new rule that encourages the the development of reclaimed water facilities, I disagree that this rule, as drafted, meets that goal. This rule does provide a more predictable regulatory process. It does not encourage the use of Reclaimed Water in Washington State.	
2		120(3) a.-e. P.17	If an agency already has a wastewater treatment plant that is capable of producing Class A or Class B water, then they might not produce all of these documents.	
3		120 (6) d P 18	Need a definition of “Cause”	
4		130 (3) P. 18	This section provides absolutely no assurance of what the review period will be. It suggests that it might be 90 days, but gives no assurance. It could be 365 days.	
5		160 (2) (f) P. 22	This refers to direct aquifer recharge, but there is no definition for that. Elsewhere it refers to direct groundwater recharge.	
6		160 (2) (f) (ii) (1) P. 22	What does “The impact of the recharge project on potable ground water.” mean? Perhaps this is an incomplete sentence?s	

<u>Item</u>	<u>Who</u>	<u>Section & page</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
7		160 (2) (f) (2-6) P. 23	These studies may be unattainable. At the very least, these studies would cost hundreds of thousands of dollars up to a million, and still might not provide solid data. Just think about the reasonableness of these sections for the Airway Heights project, and then also think about these sections in the context of the Spokane Valley Aquifer. These sections are not reasonable.	
8		225 (1) (d) P. 29	Add “or a designee.”	
9		240 (2) (b) P. 30	10 days is not long enough for review. Suggest at least 20 working days.	
10		440 (3) P 47	(2) is missing? (3) It is illogical to require the same distance for Class A water as Class B water. Suggest 250 feet rather than 500 feet	
11		700 (2) (d) P 62	This statement is not clear. How does it differ from 700 (2) (b)?	
12		810 (2) (b) P 65	This statement is not clear. Is it trying to say that a compliance point might be prior to the recharge location?	
13		810 (3) P 65	What does “directly connected” mean? Since nearly all groundwater eventually discharges to a surface water, this is very confusing.	
14		810 (3) P67	What is the definition of “directly connected”	

<u>Item</u>	<u>Who</u>	<u>Section & page</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
15		1. (b) P 67	How can you do a pilot study of the impacts of reclaimed water on ground water without discharge? The pilot study should be to monitor the suitability of reclaimed water for gw recharge.	
16		830 (1) P 67	I believe that this section suggests that if you don't declare for ASR, then once you start groundwater recharge, there is an implication that you would not be allowed to ever stop.	
17		600-650	These sections don't appear to address the use of reclaimed water in wetlands, but I need to discuss with our consultant(s) before I will have detailed comments. I will submit or bring them with me by Feb 3 rd .	
Technical				
1				
Format, Presentation, and Style				
1	Bruce Rawls	020 (2)-P. 3	There is something wrong below 2) d), starting with the words "The use of industrial..."	
2		020 (3) P 3	"Relationship to other laws" is not a complete sentence. Not needed, suggest deleting those words.	
3		180 (3) P. 24	Needs the word "shall" in front of include	
4		180 (3) P. 24	Format problem after (a)	
5		190 (3) P. 25	Move "Declaration of Construction into the sentence.	

<u>Item</u>	<u>Who</u>	<u>Section & page</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
6		190 (3) (a) P. 25	“One complete set of record drawings” Should be moved down under iv.	
7		200 (2) (a, b, and c) P26	Insert the word “Be” at the beginning of each	
8		215 (1) P 27	The last paragraph should not be (c), it should be (2)	
9		250 (3) b) P 32	Delete “thirty day”	
10		250 (5) (a) I P 32	Delete “thirty-day” and change “notice” to comment	
11		290 (4) (n) P 36	Redundant with 290 (5)	
12		350 (1) (c) P 41	Something is missing here at the end of the sentence	
13		530 (2) (c) P 54	Recommend moving this to Section 320.	
14		540 (1) P 54	(b) and (c) should be indented under (a) ?	
Wordsmithing				
1				
Definitions				
1				